

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/862,794 05/21/2001		Yajnanarayana Halmuthur Jois	TH-1917 (US)	8992
7	7590 06/24/2003			
Kimbley L. Muller Shell Oil Company Intellectual Property			EXAMINER	
			ARNOLD JR, JAMES	
P.O. Box 2463 Houston, TX 77252-2463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/862,794	JOIS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James Arnold, Jr.	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl or within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U S.C. § 133).				
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	April 2003					
1)⊡ 2≂\⊡		is action is non-final.					
2a)⊡	,		re presention on to the morita is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
·	Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊡ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	•	p.13.11, andar 50 0.0.0. g					
1)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The rejection of Claim 5 under 35 USC 112 has been overcome due to the cancellation of claim 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marter et al. (USPN 3,303,125).

The Marter reference discloses a process for producing a non-toxic aromatic oil comprising the steps of pre-blending no more than 80% by weight an extract from a lube plant in a distillate and hydrotreating the pre-blend in a hydrotreater wherein a hydrotreating catalyst is selected from the group consisting of Nickel-Molybdenum and Nickel-Cobalt, and said

Art Unit: 1764

hydrotreating process is performed at a temperature in the range of 400-700 F and a pressure in the range of 10-100 bar (145-1450 psi). See Column 1, lines 1-40 and Column 2, lines 1-27.

The reference does not disclose a less than one mutogenicity index by Modified Ames Test, a minimum of 10% penta chlorophenol solubility, and a density between 0.89-0.94 g/cc at 60 F. The reference does not disclose the full range of temperature ranging from 500-750 F and the full range of pressure ranging from 500-1500 psi.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize non-toxic aromatic oils with less than one mutogenicity index by Modified Ames Test, a minimum of 10% penta chlorophenol solubility, and a density between 0.89-0.94 g/cc at 60 F because these variables are typical of non-toxic aromatic oils, because the steps utilized to make these non-toxic aromatic oils are disclosed by the reference, and because the reference discloses oils with high density, high aromatics, and neglible acidity. See Column 1, lines 19-22. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the full range of temperature ranging from 500-750 F and the full range of pressure ranging from 500-1500 psi because overlapping ranges are disclosed by the reference and it would be appropriate to modify the range such that is effective for producing non-toxic aromatic oil.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are deemed moot due to the cancellation of claims 1-11.

Art Unit: 1764

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Arnold, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-305-5308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM; Fridays from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1764

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

ja

June 19, 2003

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner

With Lings